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## Discrete Log Problem (DLP)

- Let $G$ be a cyclic group of prime order $p$ and let $g$ be a generator of $G$.
- Given $\beta \in G$, the discrete logarithm problem is to determine $\alpha$ such that $g^{\alpha}=\beta$.
- The presumed computational difficulty of solving the DLP in appropriate groups is the basis of many cryptosystems and protocols.
- The primary reason for the popularity of ECC over RSA is that there are currently no known subexponential algorithms to solve the DLP in groups used in ECC.


## Generic Groups

- "Generic Group" refers to a group whose structure we do not know.


## Generic Groups

- "Generic Group" refers to a group whose structure we do not know.
- It is presented in the form of a "Black Box".


## Generic Groups

- "Generic Group" refers to a group whose structure we do not know.
- It is presented in the form of a "Black Box".
- Each group element has a unique encoding.


## Generic Groups

- "Generic Group" refers to a group whose structure we do not know.
- It is presented in the form of a "Black Box".
- Each group element has a unique encoding.
- Given the encoding of two elements $g$ and $h$ of the group, the black box can


## Generic Groups

- "Generic Group" refers to a group whose structure we do not know.
- It is presented in the form of a "Black Box".
- Each group element has a unique encoding.
- Given the encoding of two elements $g$ and $h$ of the group, the black box can
- produce $g \cdot h$, in unit time.


## Generic Groups

- "Generic Group" refers to a group whose structure we do not know.
- It is presented in the form of a "Black Box".
- Each group element has a unique encoding.
- Given the encoding of two elements $g$ and $h$ of the group, the black box can
- produce $g \cdot h$, in unit time.
- decide whether $g=h$, in unit time.


## Generic Groups

- "Generic Group" refers to a group whose structure we do not know.
- It is presented in the form of a "Black Box".
- Each group element has a unique encoding.
- Given the encoding of two elements $g$ and $h$ of the group, the black box can
- produce $g \cdot h$, in unit time.
- decide whether $g=h$, in unit time.
- compute any given power of $g$ (including $g^{-1}$ ), in time $O(\log p)$.


# Algorithms for DLP in Generic Groups 

| Baby-step | Rho | Kangaroo* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Giant-step |  |  |
| Shanks | Pollard | Pollard |
| Deterministic | Probabilistic | Probabilistic |
| Time $O(\sqrt{p})$ | $O(\sqrt{p})$ | $O(\sqrt{b-a})$ |
| Space $O(\sqrt{p})$ | $O(1)$ | $O(1)$ |

*Assumes that DL is known to lie in the interval $[a, b]$
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## Lower Bounds

- Victor Shoup has established a lower bound of $\Omega(\sqrt{p})$ for any probabilistic algorithm that can solve the DLP for generic groups.
- Hence the Baby-step Giant-step method and the rho method are optimal algorithms to solve the DLP and cannot be improved further (except possibly by a constant factor).
- The reason for the popularity of the ECC is that the only known algorithms to solve the DLP over elliptic curve groups are the generic algorithms.
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## Side Channel Attacks

- "Side Channel Attacks" focus on the implementation of an algorithm rather than the specification to break a cryptosystem.
- By observing the implementation being executed, the attacker can make correlations between the events that occur in the processor and the data being processed.
- Famous examples include Timing based attacks and Power Analysis based attacks.
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## Problem Considered

- We assume that some partial information about the secret key is revealed by side channel attacks.
- We can certainly ignore the extra information and use a generic algorithm of complexity $O(\sqrt{p})$ to break the system.
- We can do an exhaustive search using the extra information to break the system.
- Can we do something in between? In other words, can we use the partial information intelligently to break the system?
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## Nature of Partial Information

- We consider two different scenarios based on the nature of partial information revealed.
- In the first scenario, we assume that a sequence of contiguous bits of the key is revealed.
- In the second scenario, we assume that incomplete information about the "Square and Multiply Chain" (used to efficiently exponentiate) is revealed.
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Right Part is Known Can be solved in optimal time as shown by Teske.

Middle Part is Known Has not been studied in the Literature.
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- Suppose, we are given an integer $r$, $0<r<p$, such that we can write $r M N$ as $k p+s$ with $|s|<p / 2$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r \alpha & =r \alpha_{1} M N+r \alpha_{2} M+r \alpha_{3} \\
& =\alpha_{1} k p+s \alpha_{1}+r \alpha_{2} M+r \alpha_{3} \\
& =\alpha_{1} k p+r \alpha_{2} M+\alpha^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Reducing to Kangaroo Algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\alpha r} & =g^{\alpha_{1} k p+r \alpha_{2} M+\alpha^{\prime}} \\
\left(g^{\alpha}\right)^{r} & =\left(g^{p}\right)^{\alpha_{1} k} g^{r \alpha_{2} M} g^{\alpha^{\prime}} \\
\beta^{r} & =g^{r \alpha_{2} M} g^{\alpha^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Denoting $\left(\beta \times g^{-\alpha_{2} M}\right)^{r}$ by $\beta^{\prime}$, the above equation can be written in the form $\beta^{\prime}=g^{\alpha^{\prime}}$.
- Note that $\beta^{\prime}$ can be computed from $\beta$ as $r, \alpha_{2}$, and $M$ are known.
- Invoke Kangaroo Algorithm to compute $\alpha^{\prime}$ '.
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- When $s$ is positive, $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha_{3} r+\alpha_{1} s$ must be in the interval

$$
\left[0, r(M-1)+s\left(\frac{p}{M N}-1\right)\right]
$$

- Similary, if $s$ is negative, $\alpha^{\prime}$ must be in the interval

$$
\left[s\left(\frac{p}{M N}-1\right), r(M-1)\right]
$$

- In both cases we can restrict the value of $\alpha^{\prime}$ to an interval of length $r M+|s| \frac{p}{M N}$.
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## Minimizing Interval Size

- We want to select the parameters $r$ and $s$ such that the interval size is minimized.
- We can do so, by using Dirichlet's Theorem on rational approximations.
- We can guarantee that the size of the interval is at most $O(2 p / \sqrt{N})$.
- So, the time complexity of the overall algorithm will be $O\left(\sqrt{2} p^{1 / 2} / N^{1 / 4}\right)$.
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## Remarks

- Once $\alpha^{\prime}$ is known, we can solve the easy diophantine equation $\alpha^{\prime}=r \alpha_{3}+s \alpha_{1}$ and extract $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{3}$.
- Together with the known middle part $\alpha_{2}$, we get the discrete $\log \alpha$.
- The complexity that we are able to get is not quite optimal in the general case.
- However, if $p$ is Mersenne prime (or if $p$ is sufficiently close to $2^{l}$ ), we are able to get optimal complexity.
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## Square and Multiply Algorithm

For example, to compute $g^{43}$

- Write 43 in binary as 101011.
- Replace each 0 by S (Square) and 1 by SM (Square and Multiply) to get SMSSMSSMSM.
- Start with $h=1$ and do the operations (Squaring $h$ and Multiplying $h$ by $g$ ) specified by the above string from left to right, storing the result back in $h$ each time.
- $1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow g \rightarrow g^{2} \rightarrow g^{4} \rightarrow g^{5} \rightarrow g^{10} \rightarrow g^{20} \rightarrow$ $g^{21} \rightarrow g^{42} \rightarrow g^{43}$
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## Assumptions

- In the second scenario, we assume that (incomplete) information about the "Square and Multiply Chain" is revealed by the side channel attacks. Specifically, we assume that
- the total length $n$ of the square and multiply chain is known.
- the number $m$ of $M$ 's is known.
- Exact positions of $m-i$ of the $M$ 's are known.
- The problem is to utilize the partial information and figure out the entire chain.
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## Observations

- We need to figure out the exact positions of the remaining $i M$ 's. Then, the entire chain is determined.
- We can do an exhaustive search in time $O\left(n^{i}\right)$. Guess the positions of the $i M$ 's and then check whether the guess is right.
- We can make use of the fact that every $M$ should be preceded and followed by a $S$. But, this will not affect the asymptotics.
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- Suppose that somehow we can split the chain into two parts such that $i / 2 \mathrm{M}$ 's are on the left part and the remaining $i / 2$ M's are on the right part.
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- Suppose that somehow we can split the chain into two parts such that $i / 2 \mathrm{M}$ 's are on the left part and the remaining $i / 2$ M's are on the right part.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & =g^{\alpha}=g^{a 2^{x}+b} \\
& =\left(g^{2^{x}}\right)^{a} g^{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

- If we denote $g^{-2^{x}}$ by $h$, then the above equation reduces to

$$
\beta^{-1} \times g^{b}=h^{a}
$$
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## Algorithm

- Make a guess $a$ for the left part.
- Compute $h^{a}$.
- Record the pair $\left(a, h^{a}\right)$ in a table.
- Repeat the above for each possible guess $a$.
- Sort the table based on second column.
- Space Complexity is $O\left(n^{i / 2}\right)$ ignoring logarithmic terms.
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## Algorithm - Contd.

- Make a guess $b$ for the right part.
- Compute $y=\beta^{-1} \times g^{b}$.
- Check if $y$ is in the second column of some row of the table.
- If so, the guess is correct, and the corresponding $a$ is in the first column.
- If not, the guess is wrong and we make another guess for $b$ until we succeed.
- Time Complexity is $O\left(n^{i / 2}\right)$ ignoring logarithmic terms.
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- We don't really need the additional assumption.
- There are only $O(n)$ ways of dividing the chain into two parts.
- One of them must have the property that $i / 2$ M's are in each part. So, we try each way of splitting the chain, one position at a time.
- The overall complexity of the algorithm will be $O\left(n^{1+\left\lfloor\frac{i}{2}\right\rfloor}\right)$.
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## Conclusion and Open Problems

- Under two different scenarios of partial information we have better algorithms to find the discrete log.
- At present, we don't know how to solve the discrete log problem efficiently when the bits revealed are not in contiguous postions.
- Sometimes, one uses the NAF (Non-adjacent Form) representation to do the exponentiation. If we know partial information about the NAF we don't know how to solve the discrete log problem efficiently.

